OCHA-PP-319

Trace-anomaly driven inflation in modified gravity and the BICEP2 result

Kazuharu Bamba[1,2], R. Myrzakulov[3], S. D. Odintsov[4,5,6] and L. Sebastiani[3]
[1] *Leading Graduate School Promotion Center, Ochanomizu University, 2-1-1 Ohtsuka, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 112-8610, Japan*
[2] *Kobayashi-Maskawa Institute for the Origin of Particles and the Universe, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan*
[3] *Department of General & Theoretical Physics and Eurasian Center for Theoretical Physics, Eurasian National University, Astana 010008, Kazakhstan*
[4] *Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, ICE/CSIC-IEEC, Campus UAB, Facultat de Ciències, Torre C5-Parell-2a pl, E-08193 Bellaterra (Barcelona), Spain*
[5] *Institució Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats (ICREA), Barcelona, Spain*
[6] *Tomsk State Pedagogical University, 634061 Tomsk and National Research Tomsk State University, 634050 Tomsk, Russia*

arXiv:1403.6649v1 [hep-th] 26 Mar 2014

We explore conformal-anomaly driven inflation in $F(R)$ gravity without invoking the scalar-tensor representation. We derive the stress-energy tensor of the quantum anomaly in the flat homogeneous and isotropic universe. We investigate a suitable toy model of exponential gravity plus the quantum contribution due to the conformal anomaly, which leads to the de Sitter solution. It is shown that in $F(R)$ gravity model, the curvature perturbations with its enough amplitude consistent with the observations are generated during inflation. We also evaluate the number of e-folds at the inflationary stage and the spectral index $n_{\rm s}$ of scalar modes of the curvature perturbations by analogy with scalar tensor theories, and compare them with the observational data. As a result, it is found that the Ricci scalar decreases during inflation and the standard evolution history of the universe is recovered at the small curvature regime. Furthermore, it is demonstrated that in our model, the tensor-to-scalar ratio of the curvature perturbations can be a finite value within the 68% CL error of the very recent result found by the BICEP2 experiment.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Cq, 12.60.-i, 04.50.Kd, 95.36.+x

I. INTRODUCTION

It is theoretically known that the de Sitter expansion in the early universe, i.e., inflation [1, 2], can be realized by the quantum effects of conformally invariant fields [3] (for recent reviews on inflation, see, e.g., [4–6]). This has also been expected by the effect of quantum theories in vacuum and that of quantum gravity [7, 8]. Inflation is a crucial process to solve the so-called horizon and flatness problems, and to produce the curvature perturbations, which are the seeds of the large-scale structure of the universe.

For the standard inflation models such as the chaotic inflaion [9], inflation is driven by the so-called inflaton field. If the inflaton potential has a minimum, the inflaton rolls down to it and oscillates, so that the reheating process can occur. There are also the other models in which the inflaton falls in a potential hole [10–13], or the hybrid or double inflation [14, 15], where inflation is realized by two scalar fields appearing through a phase transition. However, the mechanism for the initial confinement of the inflaton has not well understood yet. There have been proposed a model of trace-anomaly driven inflation, called Starobinsky inflation [16], and its extended version [17] by examining the coefficient of the trace-anomaly higher-(total-)derivative counter term more carefully.

On the other hand, it is observationally suggested that the trace-anomaly driven inflation or its similar version with an R^2 term could be one of the most realistic candidates of inflationary theory by recent cosmological observations [18–21]. Accordingly, various aspects of an R^2 inflation model have been investigated in the literature (for related works, see, for instance, [22–24]). Inflation with enough duration and its graceful exist can occur due to the trace-anomaly $\Box R$ term [16], which is a higher-derivative R^2 term in the anomaly-induced action. In addition, this is the effective action of gravity including an R^2 term and a non-local one. Hence, thanks to these terms, it can be interpreted as a modified gravity theory. Also, the trace-anomaly driven inflation has been examined in several extended gravity theories such as gravity with anti-symmetric torsion [25], dilaton-coupled gravity [26], where in the conformal anomaly, there exist additional terms with their dilaton dependence, and gravity in the so-called brane new world [27, 28].

In this paper, following the considerations in Ref. [29], we explore inflation due to the quantum anomaly in $F(R)$ gravity (for recent reviews, see, for example, Ref. [30] on modified gravity and Ref. [31] on dark energy). If inflation happens during the Planck epoch, the quantum effects given by the trace anomaly of a large number of matter fields have to be taken into account. We investigate the effects of a modification of gravity on the primordial de Sitter universe emerging due to the conformal anomaly, the generation of the curvature perturbations, and the graceful

exit from inflation occurs. To carry out our analysis, we use a simple toy model of exponential gravity involving the quantum contribution owing to the conformal anomaly, in which the late-time cosmic acceleration can occur in a unified way with inflation in the early universe. This model is adopted for our purpose, because it makes possible the de Sitter expansion driven by conformal anomaly involving negative perturbations on the curvature which starts to decrease towards the end of inflation. We calculate the number of e-folds $\mathcal{N}$ and the spectral index of spectrum of the curvature perturbations generated during inflation in analogy with the scalar field theories, and compare them with the cosmological observations. We demonstrate that a viable inflation with the end in the Planck epoch can be realized. In this approach, the role of the inflaton is played by the modification of gravity, and instead to its decay in the scalar and the Dirac fields during the reheating, the model turns out to be the Einstein gravity at small curvature at the radiation-dominated stage with the decelerated expansion of the universe. We use units of $k_{\rm B} = c = \hbar = 1$ and denote the gravitational constant, G, by $\kappa^2 \equiv 8\pi G$, so that $G = 1/M_{\rm Pl}^2$ with $M_{\rm Pl} = 1.2 \times 10^{19}$ GeV the Planck mass. The metric signature $(-,+,+,+)$ is adopted.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we review the trace anomaly from quantum corrections in the Yang-Mills theory coupled with gravity. The introduction of an R^2 term in the action of the Einstein gravity is discussed. In Sec. III, the trace anomaly is explored in $F(R)$ gravity and the explicit form of the stress-energy tensor of quantum corrections for the flat Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) space-time is derived. Section IV is devoted to the study of the trace-anomaly driven inflation in exponential gravity. The (unstable) de Sitter solution describing the early-time acceleration will be found and the dynamics of inflation will be investigated. The explicit form of the perturbations coming from the modification of gravity will be derived showing the mechanism which makes possible a graceful exit from the inflation. We pay attention to the evaluation of the number of e-folds $\mathcal{N}$, the spectral index of scalar modes of the curvature perturbations, and some explicit example of the parameterization of the model is presented. In particular, we explicitly analyze the spectral index of scalar modes of the curvature perturbations and those tensor-to-scalar ratio and compare them with the recent observational data obtained by the PLANCK [20, 21] satellite and the BICEP2 [32] experiment. In the last part of this Section, we examine the possibility to recover the standard thermal universe at small curvature. In Sec. V, we study a unified scenario between trace-anomaly driven inflation and the late-time cosmic acceleration in exponential gravity. Conclusions, discussions and final remarks are presented in Sec. VI.

II. TRACE ANOMALY

Since the Standard Model of particle physics contains almost a hundred fields and this number may further be doubled if the Standard Model is embedded in a supersymmetric theory, it is reasonable to consider that there exist a large number of matter fields during inflation in the early universe. The action of these (massless) matter fields (scalars, the Dirac spinors, and vectors) in curved space-time is conformal invariant, but some divergences appear due to the presence of the one-loop vacuum contributions. In the renormalized action, some counterterms are called to cancel the poles of the divergence part by the price of breaking the conformal invariance of the matter action itself. From the classical point of view, the trace of the energy momentum tensor in a conformally invariant theory is null. However, the renormalization procedure leads to the trace of an anomalous energy momentum tensor, the so-called quantum anomaly. In the four-dimensional space-time, it reads [33–35]

$$\langle T^{\mu}_{\mu} \rangle = \alpha \left(W + \frac{2}{3} \Box R \right) - \beta \mathcal{G} + \xi \Box R \,, \tag{II.1}$$

where $\langle T^{\mu}_{\mu} \rangle$ is the vacuum expectation value of the stress-energy tensor T^{ν}_{μ}, R is the Ricci scalar and $\Box \equiv g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}$ is the covariant d'Alembertian, ∇_{μ} being the covariant derivative operator associated with the space-time metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. Furthermore, $W = C^{\xi\sigma\mu\nu}C_{\xi\sigma\mu\nu}$ is the "square" of the Weyl tensor $C_{\xi\sigma\mu\nu}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ the Gauss-Bonnet topological invariant, given by

$$W = R^{\xi\sigma\mu\nu}R_{\xi\sigma\mu\nu} - 2R^{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{3}R^2 \,, \quad \mathcal{G} = R^{\xi\sigma\mu\nu}R_{\xi\sigma\mu\nu} - 4R^{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\nu} + R^2 \,, \tag{II.2}$$

with $R_{\mu\nu}$ the Ricci tensor and $R_{\xi\sigma\mu\nu}$ the Riemann one. The dimensionfull coefficients α, β, and ξ of the above expression are related to the number of conformal fields present in the theory. We introduce real scalar fields $N_{\rm S}$, the Dirac (fermion) fields $N_{\rm F}$, vector fields $N_{\rm V}$, gravitons $N_2 (= 0\,, 1)$, and higher-derivative conformal scalars $N_{\rm HD}$. We represent α and β as

$$\alpha = \frac{N_{\rm S} + 6N_{\rm F} + 12N_{\rm V} + 611N_2 - 8N_{\rm HD}}{120(4\pi)^2} \,, \quad \beta = \frac{N_{\rm S} + 11N_{\rm F} + 62N_{\rm V} + 1411N_2 - 28N_{\rm HD}}{360(4\pi)^2} \,, \tag{II.3}$$

so that these can be positive for usual matter, except the higher-derivative conformal scalars. If we exclude the contribution of gravitons and higher-derivative conformal scalars, we get

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{120(4\pi)^2}(N_{\rm S} + 6N_{\rm F} + 12N_{\rm V})\,, \quad \beta = \frac{1}{360(4\pi)^2}(N_{\rm S} + 11N_{\rm F} + 62N_{\rm V})\,, \quad \xi = -\frac{N_{\rm V}}{6(4\pi)^2}\,, \tag{II.4}$$

where we have also added the value of ξ [34, 36]. In this work, it can be recognized that in terms of all the results, the results will be independent of the choice of general notation of these coefficients, except the fact that α and β are defined to be positive. However, to better analyze the physical quantities, it is useful to have some reference value that here we give. For the field contents of the Yang-Mills theory, we have $N_{\rm S} = 6N^2$, $N_{\rm F} = 2N^2$, and $N_{\rm V} = N^2$, where N is a very large number. As a consequence, we find

$$\alpha = \beta = \frac{N^2}{64\pi^2}\,, \quad \xi = -\frac{N^2}{96\pi^2}\,. \tag{II.5}$$

Note that

$$\frac{2}{3}\alpha + \xi = 0\,, \tag{II.6}$$

and in principle the contribution of the $\Box R$ term to the conformal anomaly vanishes, but it could be reintroduced via a higher curvature term in the action (see below). Owing to the conformal anomaly, the classical Einstein equation is corrected as

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\,R = \kappa^2\langle T_{\mu\nu}\rangle\,. \tag{II.7}$$

Here, we mention that $\langle T_{ij}\rangle$ is the vacuum expectation value of the quantum stress-energy tensor, whose trace reduces to Eq. (II.1). By taking the trace of the last equation (II.7), we derive

$$R = -\kappa^2\langle T^{\mu}_{\mu}\rangle \equiv -\kappa^2\left[\alpha\left(W + \frac{2}{3}\Box R\right) - \beta\mathcal{G} + \xi\Box R\right]\,. \tag{II.8}$$

Despite the fact that in Eq. (II.6), the coefficient of the $\Box R$ term is equal to zero, we can set it to any desired value by adding the finite R^2 counter term in the action. In the classical Einstein gravity, this additional term is necessary to exit from inflation [16]. Concretely, by adding the following action [28]

$$I = \frac{\gamma N^2}{192\pi^2}\int_{\mathcal{M}} d^4x\sqrt{-g}\,R^2\,, \quad \gamma > 0\,, \tag{II.9}$$

where g is the determinant of the metric tensor, $\mathcal{M}$ the space-time manifold, and γ a positive number, we see that Eq. (II.7) becomes

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\,R = -\frac{\gamma N^2\kappa^2}{48\pi^2}RR_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\gamma N^2\kappa^2}{192\pi^2}R^2 g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{\gamma N^2\kappa^2}{48\pi^2}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu R - \frac{\gamma N^2\kappa^2}{48\pi^2}g_{\mu\nu}\Box R^2 + \kappa^2\langle T_{\mu\nu}\rangle\,. \tag{II.10}$$

From this relation, we acquire the trace

$$R = \alpha\left(W + \frac{2}{3}\Box R\right) - \beta\mathcal{G} + \xi\Box R + \frac{\gamma N^2\kappa^2}{16\pi^2}\Box R\,. \tag{II.11}$$

Namely, the quantity $(2/3)\alpha + \xi$ can be shifted as

$$\frac{2}{3}\alpha + \xi \to -\frac{\gamma N^2}{16\pi^2}\,. \tag{II.12}$$

It means that when the Yang-Mills theory is coupled to gravity, the presence of the additional action in Eq. (II.9) can be regarded as a higher curvature correction to the Einstein gravity or a part of the matter action.

III. TRACE ANOMALY IN $F(R)$ GRAVITY

In this section, we investigate the trace anomaly in $F(R)$ gravity supporting the inflationary scenario. The action is given by[1]

$$I = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\int_{\mathcal{M}} d^4x\sqrt{-g}\,\left[R + 2\kappa^2\tilde{\gamma}R^2 + f(R) + 2\kappa^2\mathcal{L}_{\rm QC}\right]\,, \quad \tilde{\gamma} \equiv \frac{\gamma N^2}{192\pi^2}\,, \tag{III.1}$$

where we have considered the R^2 term in the action with $\tilde{\gamma}$ as in (II.9) and we have added a correction given by a function $f(R)$ of the Ricci scalar. Moreover, $\mathcal{L}_{\rm QC}$ is the matter Lagrangian of quantum corrections. The field equations are derived as

$$\begin{aligned} G_{\mu\nu} \equiv R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\,R \;=\; & \kappa^2\langle T_{\mu\nu}\rangle - 4\tilde{\gamma}\kappa^2 R R_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{\gamma}R^2\kappa^2 g_{\mu\nu} + 4\tilde{\gamma}\kappa^2\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu R - 4\tilde{\gamma}\kappa^2 g_{\mu\nu}\Box R^2 \\ & - f_R(R)\left(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu}\right) + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}[f(R) - Rf_R(R)] + (\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu - g_{\mu\nu}\Box)f_R(R)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{III.2}$$

Here, $G_{\mu\nu}$ is the Einstein tensor and $f_R(R) \equiv \partial f(R)/\partial R$. In the following, the subscription "R" indicates the derivatives with respect to the Ricci scalar. The trace is described as

$$R = -\kappa^2\left(\alpha W - \beta G + \delta\Box R\right) - 2f(R) + Rf_R(R) + 3\Box f_R(R)\,, \tag{III.3}$$

where we have imposed the condition in Eq. (II.6) and introduced δ defined as

$$\delta \equiv -12\tilde{\gamma} = -\frac{\gamma N^2}{16\pi^2}\,, \quad \delta < 0\,. \tag{III.4}$$

Here, $\gamma(>0)$ is a positive constant and it remains a free parameter.

The flat FLRW space-time is described by the metric

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)\left(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2\right)\,, \tag{III.5}$$

where $a(t)$ is the scale factor. In this background, we obtain

$$R = 12H^2 + 6\dot{H}\,, \quad \mathcal{G} = 24H^2\left(H^2 + \dot{H}\right)\,, \quad W = 0\,. \tag{III.6}$$

Here, $H = \dot{a}(t)/a(t)$ is the Hubble parameter and the dot denotes the time derivative. The energy density ρ and pressure p of quantum corrections are represented as

$$\langle T_{00}\rangle = \rho\,, \quad \langle T_{ij}\rangle = p\,a(t)^2\delta_{ij}\,, \quad (i,j=1,2,3)\,. \tag{III.7}$$

In the FLRW background, it follows from $(\mu,\nu) = (0,0)$ component and the trace part of $(\mu,\nu) = (i,j)$ of Eq. (III.2), in which the contributes of R^2 term are included in the conformal anomaly, we obtain the equations of motion (EoM)

$$\frac{3}{\kappa^2}H^2 \;=\; \rho + \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\left[Rf_R(R) - f(R) - 6H^2 f_R(R) - 6H\dot{f}_R(R)\right] \equiv \rho_{\rm eff}\,, \tag{III.8}$$

$$-\frac{1}{\kappa^2}\left(2\dot{H} + 3H^2\right) \;=\; p + \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\left[-Rf_R(R) + f(R) + (4\dot{H} + 6H^2)f_R(R) + 4H\dot{f}_R(R) + 2\ddot{f}_R(R)\right] \equiv p_{\rm eff}\,. \tag{III.9}$$

In these equations, $\rho_{\rm eff}$ and $p_{\rm eff}$ are the effective energy density and pressure of the universe, that is, these of total energy components of the universe which consist of quantum matter contents and modification of gravity. Thus, by considering the time-covariant derivative of (III.2) and by taking account of the covariant derivative in Eq. (III.2) and $\nabla^\mu G_{\mu 0} = 0$, we derive the effective conservation law

$$\dot{\rho}_{\rm eff} + 3H\left(\rho_{\rm eff} + p_{\rm eff}\right) = 0\,. \tag{III.10}$$

---

[1] In this paper, since we use the terminology of "$F(R)$ gravity", the form of $F(R)$ is considered to be $F(R) = R + 2\kappa^2\tilde{\gamma}R^2 + f(R)$.

Now, we can use this equation to get the full effective energy-momentum tensor (in the specific case, we need ρ and p of the quantum corrections). Since we have

$$-\rho_{\rm eff}+3p_{\rm eff}=-\frac{R}{\kappa^2}\equiv-\beta G+\delta\Box R+\frac{1}{\kappa^2}\left(2f(R)-Rf_R(R)-3\Box f_R(R)\right)\,, \tag{III.11}$$

where we have used Eq. (III.3) with $W=0$. Eliminating the pressure and using the conservation law in Eq. (III.10), we find

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\rho_{\rm eff}a^4\right)=-\dot{a}a^3\left(-\rho_{\rm eff}+3p_{\rm eff}\right)\equiv a^3\dot{a}\left[24\beta\frac{\dot{a}^2\ddot{a}}{a^3}+\delta\left(\ddot{R}+3H\dot{R}\right)\right]-\frac{\dot{a}a^3}{\kappa^2}\left(2f(R)-Rf_R(R)-3\Box f_R(R)\right)\,. \tag{III.12}$$

The integration of this equation yields the expression for the effective energy density

$$\rho_{\rm eff}=\frac{\rho_0}{a^4}+6\beta H^4+\delta\left(18H^2\dot{H}+6\ddot{H}H-3\dot{H}^2\right)+\frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\left(Rf_R(R)-f(R)-6H^2f_R(R)-6H\dot{f}_R(R)\right)\,, \tag{III.13}$$

where ρ_0 is the constant of integration. Hence, eventually we have the effective pressure

$$\begin{aligned}p_{\rm eff}\,=\,&\frac{\rho_0}{3a^4}-\beta\left(6H^4+8H^2\dot{H}\right)-\delta\left(9\dot{H}^2+12H\ddot{H}+2\dddot{H}+18H^2\dot{H}\right)+\\&\frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\Big[-Rf_R(R)+f(R)+(4\dot{H}+6H^2)f_R(R)+4H\dot{f}_R(R)+2\ddot{f}_R(R)\Big]\,.\end{aligned} \tag{III.14}$$

In the expressions of $\rho_{\rm eff}$ in Eq. (III.13) and $p_{\rm eff}$ in Eq. (III.14), we can recognize the contributions from not only modified gravity but also quantum corrections. The appearance of the integration constant ρ_0 implies that the quantum state may contain an arbitrary amount of radiation [28], which does not contribute to the trace of the conformal anomaly. In the seminal paper by Starobinsky [16], $f(R)=0$, the model is generalized to a non-necessarily flat spatial curvature and $\rho_0=0$ (in comparison with the coefficients k_1 and k_2 used in Ref. [16], we have $k_2=90N^2$ and $k_3=-180N^2\gamma<0$). We also put

$$\rho_0=0\,, \tag{III.15}$$

motivated by the fact that we are interested in the Planck energy scale, where the energy density of the standard radiation can be much smaller than those of the quantum corrections and modification of gravity at this scale, and therefore the contribution from radiation can be neglected.

Here, we present several remarks. In principle, the form of the stress energy tensor of quantum corrections is unknown. What we know is its trace introduced in the general form in Eq. (II.1). However, given the metric (the flat FLRW space-time in our case), it is possible to derive the stress-energy tensor of the quantum anomaly "on shell", as executed above. If we know the effective energy density of the universe due to the quantum corrections and modified gravity, the pressure follows from the conservation law which is automatically satisfied. For this reason, in order to study the cosmological evolution of the model, it is enough to use one of the gravitational equations (III.8) and (III.9). In what follows, we use the Friedmann equation (III.8), where $\rho_{\rm eff}$ is given by Eq. (III.13) with $\rho_0=0$.

IV. TRACE-ANOMALY DRIVEN INFLATION IN EXPONENTIAL GRAVITY

To reproduce the cosmic acceleration of the de Sitter universe today, many $F(R)$ gravity models have been presented in the literature. The simplest idea is to construct a function of $f(R)$ which mimics the cosmological constant Λ for large curvature and goes to zero for $R=0$. Such behaviour can be sketched by the Heaviside function $\theta\left(R-R_*\right)$ as

$$f(R)=-2\Lambda\theta\left(R-R_*\right)\,, \tag{IV.1}$$

where R_* is a fixed curvature smaller than the current curvature. It is intuitive that in this way, we can recover the ΛCDM model at large curvature and the Minkowski space-time for $R=0$. In Refs. [37–42], several versions of this kind of (viable) $F(R)$ gravity have been proposed. For the inflation, one also needs a (quasi)-de Sitter solution and the introduction of a suitable effective cosmological constant at large curvature appears to be quite natural, such that the model above can be implemented as

$$f(R)=-2\Lambda\theta\left(R-R_*\right)-2\Lambda_{\rm eff}\theta\left(R-R_0\right)\,, \tag{IV.2}$$

where $\Lambda_{\rm eff}$ and R_0 are constants and $\Lambda_{\rm eff}\,,R_0\,\gg\,\Lambda\,,R_*$. However, the most significant problem of inflationary cosmology is how to realize a graceful exit from inflation. By making use of an exponential model in Eq. (IV.2),

where two effective cosmological constants are incorporated, an attempt to unify inflation with the late-time cosmic acceleration has been analyzed in detail in Ref. [43]. In such a case, some power-law term of the Ricci scalar has been added to make the exit from inflation possible by taking into consideration the perturturbations coming from ultrarelativistic matter and radiation present in the hot universe scenario. On the other hand, in this work we analyze the effects of the trace anomaly in modified gravity theories, that is, we study physics at the Planck scale, so that contributions of standard matter/radiation will be negligible. As a toy model of form of $f(R)$, we examine

$$f(R) = -2\Lambda_{\rm eff}\left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{R}{R_0}\right)\right]. \tag{IV.3}$$

For this model, in the small curvature limit, the modification of gravity vanishes, so that the cosmic evolution can be the same as the standard scenario, whereas at large curvature (i.e., the energy scale of inflation) $R \gg R_0$, an effective cosmological constant $\Lambda_{\rm eff}(> 0)$ appears. Beside its simplicity, the reason why we consider this model is as follows. Since the conformal anomaly leads to the de Sitter solution, the adding of a constant to the effective energy density of the universe only shifts the de Sitter solution of inflation without cancelling it. Hence, in this model, the perturbations around the leading terms of $f(R)$ proportional to $\exp(-R/R_0)$ are negative, so that for the (unstable) de Sitter solution, the Hubble parameter and therefore the Ricci scalar slowly decrease during inflation, as is seen later. From now on, we analyze the cosmological behaviour in this model with the quantum anomaly.

A. De Sitter solution(s)

At large curvature $R \gg R_0$, $f(R) \simeq -2\Lambda_{\rm eff}$, and the Friedmann equation (III.8) reads

$$\frac{3}{\kappa^2}H^2 \simeq 6\beta H^4 + \delta\left(18H^2\dot{H} + 6\ddot{H}H - 3\dot{H}^2\right) + \frac{\Lambda_{\rm eff}}{\kappa^2}, \tag{IV.4}$$

where we have omitted the terms proportional to $\exp(-R/R_0)$. This equation (with $\Lambda_{\rm eff} \neq 0$) has two de Sitter solutions with the constant Hubble parameter

$$H^2_{\rm dS\pm} = \frac{1}{4\beta\kappa^2}\left[1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{8\Lambda_{\rm eff}\beta\kappa^2}{3}}\right], \tag{IV.5}$$

which are independent of the parameter δ (it is well know that the R^2 term does not give any contribution to the de Sitter solution). Since $\beta = N^2/\left(64\pi^2\right) > 0$ in Eq. (II.5), in order to have real (and positive) solutions, the following condition has to be required:

$$\Lambda_{\rm eff} < \frac{3}{8\beta\kappa^2}, \quad \frac{1}{\beta\kappa^2} \equiv \frac{8\pi M^2_{\rm Pl}}{N^2}, \tag{IV.6}$$

where we have reintroduced the Planck mass. Solutions in Eq. (IV.5) can be rewritten as

$$H^2_{\rm dS\pm} = \frac{1}{4\beta\kappa^2}\left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{8\zeta}{3}}\right) = \frac{2\pi M^2_{\rm Pl}}{N^2}\left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{8\zeta}{3}}\right), \quad \Lambda_{\rm eff} = \frac{\zeta}{\beta\kappa^2} = \zeta\left[\frac{8\pi M^2_{\rm Pl}}{N^2}\right], \quad 0 < \zeta < \frac{3}{8}. \tag{IV.7}$$

Furthermore, there are two special solutions

$$H^2_{\rm dS} = \frac{1}{2\beta\kappa^2} = \frac{4\pi M^2_{\rm Pl}}{N^2}, \quad \Lambda_{\rm eff} = 0, \tag{IV.8}$$

$$H^2_{\rm dS} = \frac{1}{4\beta\kappa^2} = \frac{2\pi M^2_{\rm Pl}}{N^2}, \quad \Lambda_{\rm eff} = \frac{3}{8\beta\kappa^2} = \frac{3}{8}\left(\frac{8\pi M^2_{\rm Pl}}{N^2}\right). \tag{IV.9}$$

The first solution in Eq. (IV.8) corresponds to the one obtained in the Starobinsky model in Ref. [16]. We here remark several points on the condition in Eq. (IV.6). Even if $N \gg 1$, the effective cosmological constant is bounded at the very large value of the Planck length ($l_{\rm Pl} = M^{-1}_{\rm Pl}$). The corresponding energy density is given by $\rho_{\Lambda_{\rm eff}} = \Lambda_{\rm eff}/\kappa^2 \propto M^4_{\rm Pl}$. The fact that the energy density associated with the de Sitter solution is of order of the Planck scale to the fourth power justifies the introduction of the quantum corrections into the theory. Moreover, in the present derivation we have assumed that both the de Sitter solutions $H^2_{\rm dS\pm}$ are acquired in the high curvature limit of the model in Eq. (IV.3), namely, at $R_{\rm dS\pm}(\equiv 12H^2_{\rm dS\pm}) \gg R_0$.

We study the stability of the de Sitter solutions. We define the perturbations $\Delta H(t)$ as

$$H = H_{\rm dS\pm} + \Delta H(t)\,, \quad |\Delta H(t)| \ll 1\,. \tag{IV.10}$$

Substituting this expression into Eq. (IV.4) and taking the first order of $\Delta H(t)$, we find

$$\Delta\ddot{H}(t) + 3H_{\rm dS\pm}\Delta\dot{H}(t) = \frac{\Delta H(t)}{\delta}\left(\frac{1}{\kappa^2} - 4H_{\rm dS\pm}^2\beta\right)\,. \tag{IV.11}$$

Here, we have not included any term proportional to $\exp\left(-R/R_0\right)$ of the model in Eq. (IV.3), which contributes to the stability of the solution only through $\Lambda_{\rm eff}$ inside the expression of $H_{\rm dS\pm}$ in Eq. (IV.5). The solution of Eq. (IV.11) is given by

$$\Delta H(t) = A_0 {\rm e}^{\lambda_{1,2}t}\,, \quad \lambda_{1,2} = \frac{-3H_{\rm dS\pm} \pm \sqrt{9H_{\rm dS\pm}^2 + \frac{4}{\delta}\left(\frac{1}{\kappa^2} - 4H_{\rm dS\pm}^2\beta\right)}}{2}\,, \tag{IV.12}$$

where A_0 is a constant. The de Sitter solution is unstable (and adopted to describe the inflation) only if λ_1 (the eigenvalue with the positive sign in front of the square root) is real and positive, i.e.,

$$4\beta - \frac{1}{\kappa^2 H_{\rm dS\pm}^2} > 0\,, \quad 9H_{\rm dS\pm}^2 + \frac{4}{\delta}\left(\frac{1}{\kappa^2} - 4H_{\rm dS\pm}^2\beta\right) > 0\,. \tag{IV.13}$$

Here, we have taken into account the fact that $\beta > 0$ and $\delta < 0$. We further analyze the results.

1. *Double de Sitter solution*

By plugging $H_{\rm dS\pm}$ in Eq. (IV.7) into Eq. (IV.13), we see that λ_1 is real if

$$1 \pm \left(1 - \frac{16\beta}{9\delta}\right)\sqrt{1 - \frac{8}{3}\zeta} > 0\,, \tag{IV.14}$$

where the plus and minus signs correspond to the ones of $H_{\rm dS\pm}$. In the case of $H_{\rm dS+}$, this condition is always satisfied, and eventually the instability condition reads

$$\sqrt{1 - \frac{8}{3}\zeta} > 0\,. \tag{IV.15}$$

Hence, this de Sitter solution is unstable effectively. On the other hand, in the case of $H_{\rm dS-}^2$, the condition in Eq. (IV.14) is satisfied only if

$$\frac{1}{1 - \frac{16\beta}{9\delta}} > \sqrt{1 - \frac{8}{3}\zeta}\,. \tag{IV.16}$$

However, even if this condition could be satisfied, from Eq. (IV.13) we have

$$-\sqrt{1 - \frac{8}{3}\zeta} < 0\,, \tag{IV.17}$$

and thus the de Sitter solution becomes stable. Consequently, a stable de Sitter solution is realized during the inflation (and it may be the final attractor of the system), and therefore inflation cannot end. Next, we investigate the second de Sitter solution in the small curvature limit of the model in Eq. (IV.3)

$$R_{\rm dS-} \leq R_0 \ll R_{\rm dS+}\,, \tag{IV.18}$$

where $R_{\rm dS\pm} = 12H_{\rm dS\pm}^2$. In this case, the second (stable) de Sitter solution cannot be obtained with the mechanism illustrated above. In what follows, we identify the de Sitter solution with $H_{\rm dS} \equiv H_{\rm dS+}$, considering the relation (IV.18) satisfied. We note that since the Starobinsky model is free of singularities in both the past and the future, also our theory results in being free of singularities, where an effective cosmological constant is added to the conformal anomaly.

2. Special de Sitter solutions

For the special solutions in Eqs. (IV.8)–(IV.9), we see that in the first case (the Starobinsky model), from Eq. (IV.13) we have

$$2\beta > 0\,, \quad \frac{9}{2\beta} - \frac{4}{\delta} > 0\,, \tag{IV.19}$$

and it is well-known that the solution is unstable. For the second solution, we get

$$4\beta - \frac{1}{\kappa^2 H_{\rm dS}^2} = 0\,, \quad \frac{9}{4\beta\kappa^2} > 0\,, \tag{IV.20}$$

and a later analysis is required. In what follows, we avoid to discuss these two special cases.

Here, we mention that in the case of exponential gravity, the exponential term makes the possibility for the de Sitter solution to be unstable wider than it for the pure trace-anomaly driven inflation.

B. Dynamics of inflation

Given the unstable de Sitter solution, to analyze inflation occurring in the model in Eq. (IV.3), we have to calculate the amplitude of the perturbations in Eq. (IV.12), that is, we need the bounding value of A_0. In particular, its sign is crucial because the evolution of the Hubble parameter and hence the cosmological behaviour of the universe depend on it. At the time $t = 0$ when inflation starts, we have to set $\Delta H(t = 0) = 0$. From the Friedmann equation (III.8), by taking into account also the terms in the model which are of the order of $\exp\left(-R_{\rm dS}/R_0\right)$, around the de Sitter solution $H = H_{\rm dS} + \Delta H(t)$, we find

$$-\delta\left(\Delta\ddot{H}(t) + 3H_{\rm dS}\Delta\dot{H}(t)\right) + \Delta H(t)\left(\frac{1}{\kappa^2} - 4H_{\rm dS}^2\beta\right) = -\frac{{\rm e}^{-R_{\rm dS}/R_0}\Lambda_{\rm eff}}{12H_{\rm dS}\kappa^2}\left(\frac{R_{\rm dS}}{R_0} + 2\right). \tag{IV.21}$$

The complete solution of this equation is given by the homogeneous part in Eq. (IV.12) plus the contribute of modified gravity as follows

$$\Delta H(t) = A_0{\rm e}^{\lambda_{1,2}t} - \frac{{\rm e}^{-R_{\rm dS}/R_0}\Lambda_{\rm eff}}{12H_{\rm dS}\kappa^2}\left(\frac{R_{\rm dS}}{R_0} + 2\right)\left(\frac{1}{\kappa^2} - 4H_{\rm dS}^2\beta\right)^{-1}. \tag{IV.22}$$

Thus, at $t = 0$, by putting $\Delta H(t = 0) = 0$, we can estimate the amplitude A_0 as

$$A_0 = \frac{{\rm e}^{-R_{\rm dS}/R_0}\Lambda_{\rm eff}}{12H_{\rm dS}\kappa^2}\left(\frac{R_{\rm dS}}{R_0} + 2\right)\left(\frac{1}{\kappa^2} - 4H_{\rm dS}^2\beta\right)^{-1}, \tag{IV.23}$$

which leads to

$$A_0 = -\frac{{\rm e}^{-R_{\rm dS}/R_0}\zeta}{12H_{\rm dS}(\beta\kappa^2)}\left(\frac{R_{\rm dS}}{R_0} + 2\right)\left(1 - \frac{8}{3}\zeta\right)^{-1/2} < 0\,. \tag{IV.24}$$

Here, we have considered only the unstable solution $H_{\rm dS} \equiv H_{\rm dS+}$ in Eq. (IV.7) as discussed above. Note that thanks to Eq. (IV.24), all the terms omitted in Eq. (IV.21) are at lower orders, particularly, $|(\Delta H(t)/H_{\rm dS})^2| \ll \exp\left(-R_{\rm dS}/R_0\right)$. Moreover, as the time passes, the second term in Eq. (IV.22) can be neglected in comparison with the first one and eventually we recover Eq. (IV.12). This point is quite important in our analysis. In principle, in an $F(R)$ gravity theory, it is possible to judge whether a given solution is stable or unstable. In the de Sitter solution, we acquire a contribution originating from the modification of gravity, but the instability of the solution only depends on the trace anomaly (in fact, it has the dependence on the positive R^2 correction term to the Einstein gravity due to the term $\delta(< 0)$). However, it is crucial issue to understand the origin of the perturbations during this phase to bound them at the beginning of inflation. If a modified gravity theory describes the early universe and relates to the quantum anomaly, it may generate the perturbations to the (unstable) de Sitter solution (otherwise, if $f(R) = -2\Lambda_{\rm eff}$, the de Sitter solution is an exact solution of the gravitational field equations), which grow up in time and realize a graceful exit from inflation.

In our case, the sign of amplitude of the perturbations is negative. The standard cosmology is recovered at small curvature ($R/R_0 \ll 1$), where it is expected that the modifications to the Einstein gravity vanish and the expansion

of the universe is driven by matter/radiation. Inflation ends when the perturbation $H_{\rm dS}|\Delta H(t)|$ is of the order of $H_{\rm dS}^2$, i.e.,

$$\exp\left(\lambda_1 t - \frac{R_{\rm dS}}{R_0}\right) \simeq \frac{3}{\zeta}\left(1-\frac{8}{3}\zeta\right)\left(1+\sqrt{1-\frac{8}{3}\zeta}\right)\left(\frac{R_{\rm dS}}{R_0}+2\right). \tag{IV.25}$$

As a consequence, we may estimate the time at the end of inflation as

$$t_{\rm f} \simeq \frac{R_{\rm dS}}{R_0\,\lambda_1}. \tag{IV.26}$$

Note that since $\lambda_1 \sim H_{\rm dS}$, this time is at the Planck scale.

The primordial acceleration can solve the problem of initial conditions of the standard model (horizon and velocities problems), only if $\dot{a}_{\rm i}/\dot{a}_0 < 10^{-5}$, where $\dot{a}_{\rm i}\,, \dot{a}_0$ are the time derivatives of the scale factor at the Big Bang and today, respectively, and 10^{-5} is the estimated value of the inhomogeneous perturbations in our universe. Since in decelerating universe $\dot{a}(t)$ only decreases of a factor 10^{28}, it is required that $\dot{a}_{\rm i}/\dot{a}_{\rm f} < 10^{-33}$, where $a_{\rm i}$ is the scale factor at the Big Bang again (namely, at the beginning of inflation), and $a_{\rm f}$ is the scale factor at the end of inflation. Thus, if inflation is governed by a (quasi) de Sitter solution where $a(t) = \exp(H_{\rm dS}t)$, we can introduce the number of e-folds $\mathcal{N}$ as

$$\mathcal{N} = \ln\left(\frac{a_{\rm f}}{a_{\rm i}}\right), \tag{IV.27}$$

and inflation is viable if $\mathcal{N} > 76$. For our model, by taking account of the fact that we have chosen $t_{\rm i} = 0$ and using Eq. (IV.26), we acquire

$$\mathcal{N} \equiv H_{\rm dS}t_f = \frac{2R_{\rm dS}}{3R_0}\left[-1+\sqrt{1-\frac{16\beta}{9\delta}\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-\frac{8}{3}\zeta}}{1+\sqrt{1-\frac{8}{3}\zeta}}\right)}\right]^{-1}. \tag{IV.28}$$

For simplicity, we represent

$$R_{\rm dS} = bR_0\,, \quad b>0\,, \tag{IV.29}$$

where b is a positive number. By combining this relation, the expressions for β in Eq. (II.5) and δ in Eq. (III.4), and Eq. (IV.28), we have

$$\mathcal{N} = \frac{2b}{3}\left[-1+\sqrt{1+\frac{4}{9\gamma}\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-\frac{8}{3}\zeta}}{1+\sqrt{1-\frac{8}{3}\zeta}}\right)}\right]^{-1}. \tag{IV.30}$$

We remember that γ enters the action of the model in front of the R^2 term in Eq. (III.1) and ζ depends on the effective cosmological constant in Eq. (IV.7). To satisfy Eq. (IV.18) and not to have the stable de Sitter solution, the following relation has to be met

$$1 \ll b \leq \frac{1+\sqrt{1-\frac{8}{3}\zeta}}{1-\sqrt{1-\frac{8}{3}\zeta}}. \tag{IV.31}$$

As an example, for $\Lambda_{\rm eff} = \pi M_{\rm Pl}^2/N^2$, that is, $\zeta = 1/8$ and $b = 3$, the condition in Eq. (IV.31) is satisfied. Moreover, since $R_{\rm dS-} < R_0 (\simeq 3R_{\rm dS-})$, it cannot be met ($f(R_{\rm dS-}) \neq -2\Lambda_{\rm eff}$), whereas since there are the relations $R_{\rm dS+} = 3R_0$ and $\exp(-R_{\rm dS+}/R_0) \sim 0.05 \ll 1$, we find $f(R_{\rm dS+}) \simeq -2\Lambda_{\rm eff}$, and therefore the unstable de Sitter solution $R_{\rm dS} \equiv R_{\rm dS+}$ can be realized. In this case, to obtain $\mathcal{N} > 76$, γ has to meet the relation $\gamma > 3.8$.

1. EoS parameter

The effective EoS parameter is defined as

$$w_{\rm eff} \equiv \frac{p_{\rm eff}}{\rho_{\rm eff}}\,, \tag{IV.32}$$

where $p_{\rm eff}$ and $\rho_{\rm eff}$ are given by Eqs. (III.13) and (III.14), respectively. For the de Sitter solution, $w_{\rm eff} = -1$, it is equal to minus one, but by introducing the perturbation $H = H_{\rm dS} + \Delta H(t)$, we obtain

$$w_{\rm eff} \simeq -1 - \frac{2\kappa^2}{3H_{\rm dS}^2}\left(4\beta H_{\rm dS}^2 \Delta\dot{H}(t) + 3\delta H_{\rm dS}\Delta\ddot{H}(t) + \delta\Delta\dddot{H}(t)\right) . \tag{IV.33}$$

By describing $\Delta(t) = A_0 {\rm e}^{\lambda_1 t}$, where λ_1 is given by Eq. (IV.12), we get

$$w_{\rm eff} \simeq -1 - \frac{2\lambda_1 A_0 {\rm e}^{\lambda_1 t}}{3H_{\rm dS}^2} = -1 - \frac{2\lambda_1 \Delta(t)}{3H_{\rm dS}^2} . \tag{IV.34}$$

Thus, by using $H_{\rm dS} \equiv H_{\rm dS+}$ in Eq. (IV.7) and introducing the number of e-folds $\mathcal{N}$ in Eq. (IV.28), we find

$$w_{\rm eff} \simeq -1 - \frac{2R_{\rm dS}}{3R_0\mathcal{N}}\frac{\Delta H(t)}{H_{\rm dS}} . \tag{IV.35}$$

The effective EoS parameter tends to increase because $A_0 < 0$ as shown in Eq. (IV.24). In addition, since $|\Delta H(0)/H_{\rm dS}(0)| \ll 1$ and $\Delta H(t_{\rm f}) \simeq -H_{\rm dS}(t_{\rm f})$, we see that during inflation, the following relation is met

$$-1 < w_{\rm eff} < -1 + \frac{2R_{\rm dS}}{3R_0\mathcal{N}} . \tag{IV.36}$$

In general, $\mathcal{N} > R_{\rm dS}/R_0\,(= b)$, and the expansion of the universe is accelerating until the end of this period driven by a fluid with negative pressure. As the energy density of the fluid slowly decreases, and finally the exit from inflation can occur as seen above.

2. Spectral index

The second time derivative of $a(t)$ is given by

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = H^2 + \dot{H} = H^2\left(1 - \epsilon\right) , \tag{IV.37}$$

where we have introduced the parameter ϵ. When the approximate de Sitter solution is realized, it has to be very small as

$$\epsilon = -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} \ll 1 . \tag{IV.38}$$

Moreover, ϵ has to change very slowly. There is another parameter η, which has to also be very small as

$$|\eta| = \left|-\frac{\ddot{H}}{2H\dot{H}}\right| \equiv \left|\epsilon - \frac{1}{2\epsilon H}\dot{\epsilon}\right| \ll 1 . \tag{IV.39}$$

These two parameters are the so-called slow-roll parameters. In standard inflation models driven by a scalar field, called the inflaton, these are useful to study the viability of inflation models.

The amplitude of scalar-mode power spectrum of the primordial curvature perturbations at $k = 0.002\,{\rm Mpc}^{-1}$ is described as

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}^2 = \frac{\kappa^2 H^2}{8\pi^2\epsilon} , \tag{IV.40}$$

and the last cosmological data constrain the spectral index $n_{\rm s}$ and the tensor-to-scalar ratio r are given by [44, 45]

$$n_{\rm s} = 1 - 6\epsilon + 2\eta , \quad r = 16\epsilon . \tag{IV.41}$$

In our model, we find

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}^2 = \frac{1}{32\pi^2\beta\epsilon}\left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{8}{3}\zeta}\right) = \frac{2}{N^2\epsilon}\left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{8}{3}\zeta}\right) , \tag{IV.42}$$

where in the second equality, we have used Eq. (II.5). The parameters ϵ and η read

$$\epsilon \simeq -\frac{\Delta\dot{H}(t)}{H_{\rm dS}^2} = \frac{b^2}{\mathcal{N}^2}\left(-\frac{\delta}{4\beta}\right)\frac{{\rm e}^{(\lambda_1 t-b)}\zeta\,(b+2)}{\left(1-\frac{8}{3}\zeta\right)}\left(\frac{b}{3\mathcal{N}}+1\right) = \frac{b^2}{\mathcal{N}^2}\frac{{\rm e}^{(\lambda_1 t-b)}\zeta\,(b+2)}{\left(1-\frac{8}{3}\zeta\right)}\left(\frac{b}{3\mathcal{N}}+1\right)\,,$$
$$\eta = \epsilon - \frac{\dot{\epsilon}}{2\epsilon H_{\rm dS}} = \epsilon - \frac{\lambda_1}{2H_{\rm dS}} = \epsilon - \frac{b}{2\mathcal{N}}\,. \tag{IV.43}$$

In deriving the second and third equalities in Eq. (IV.43), we have used the number of e-folds in Eq. (IV.30), and in obtaining the last equality in Eq. (IV.43), we have taken into consideration $\mathcal{N} = H_{\rm dS}t_{\rm f}$, where $t_{\rm f}$ is given by Eq. (IV.26) with $R_{\rm dS} = bR_0$. During inflation, when $t \ll t_{\rm f}$, since $\mathcal{N} \gg 1$, we have

$$\epsilon \simeq \frac{b^2}{\mathcal{N}^2}\frac{{\rm e}^{-b}\zeta\,(b+2)}{\left(1-\frac{8}{3}\zeta\right)} \ll 1\,, \quad |\eta| \simeq \left|-\frac{b}{2\mathcal{N}}\right| \ll 1\,. \tag{IV.44}$$

Thus, the spectral index and the tensor-to-scalar ratio in Eq. (IV.41) are derived as

$$n_{\rm s} = 1 - \frac{b}{\mathcal{N}} - \frac{6b^2}{\mathcal{N}^2}\frac{{\rm e}^{-b}\zeta\,(b+2)}{\left(1-\frac{8}{3}\zeta\right)}\,, \quad r = \frac{16b^2}{\mathcal{N}^2}\frac{{\rm e}^{-b}\zeta\,(b+2)}{\left(1-\frac{8}{3}\zeta\right)}\,. \tag{IV.45}$$

We mention the recent observations of the spectral index $n_{\rm s}$ as well as the tensor-to-scalar ratio r. The results observed by the PLANCK satellite are $n_{\rm s} = 0.9603 \pm 0.0073\,(68\%\,{\rm CL})$ and $r < 0.11\,(95\%\,{\rm CL})$ [20]. Since $b/\mathcal{N} \ll 1$ and $1 \ll b$, the constraints from the PLANCK satellite described above can be satisfied. For instance, for $b = 3$, $\zeta = 1/8$, and $\mathcal{N} = 76$, we have $n_{\rm s} \simeq 0.9601$ and $r = 1.20 \times 10^{-3}$. However, very recently, the BICEP2 experiment has detected the B-mode polarization of the cosmic microwave background (CMB) radiation with the tensor to scalar ratio $r = 0.20^{+0.07}_{-0.05}\,(68\%\,{\rm CL})$ [32], and also the case that r vanishes has been rejected at 7.0σ level. Thus, the viable models of inflation have to produce such a finite value of r. Several attempts to build inflationary models or other cosmological processes to realize the value of $r = 0.20$ have recently been executed, e.g., in Refs. [46–48]. For our model, even if the dependence of the tensor-to-scalar ratio on $\mathcal{N}^2$ makes it very small, we can play with a value of ζ close to $3/8$ in order to increase its value. For instance, with the choice $\zeta = 0.37125$, we can still describe the unstable de Sitter solution for $b > 1$, since $R_{\rm dS} \gg R_0$ and $f(R_{\rm dS}) \simeq -2\Lambda_{\rm eff}$. Thus, the number of e-folds $\mathcal{N}$ depends on γ only as in Eq. (IV.30): If $b = 2$, $\gamma > 1.14$ to have $\mathcal{N} > 76$; if $b = 3$, we find $\gamma > 0.76$; if $b = 4$, we obtain $\gamma > 0.57$, and so on. In such a case, the value of the tensor-to-scalar ratio results in being non-null. For example, by considering $\mathcal{N} = 76$, we acquire $r = 0.22$ for $b = 2$; $r = 0.23$ for $b = 3$; and $r = 1.18$ for $b = 4$.

C. End of inflation

At the end of inflation, when $R/R_0 \ll 1$, the effective energy density in Eq. (III.13) reads at the second order of $\Delta H(t)$ as

$$\rho_{\rm eff} = \delta\left(6\Delta\ddot{H}(t)\Delta H(t) - 3\Delta\dot{H}(t)^2\right) + \frac{6\Lambda_{\rm eff}}{\kappa^2}\left(\frac{3\Delta\dot{H}(t)^2}{R_0^2} + \frac{\Delta H(t)^2}{R_0} - \frac{6\Delta H(t)\Delta\ddot{H}(t)}{R_0^2}\right)\,, \tag{IV.46}$$

where we have expanded the exponential model around $R \simeq \Delta R(t)$, with $|\Delta R(t)| \ll 1$, and we have considered $\Delta R(t) = \left(12\Delta H(t)^2 + 6\Delta\dot{H}(t)\right)$. Hence, from the Friedmann equation (III.8), we derive

$$\Delta H(t)^2\left(\frac{1}{\kappa^2} - \frac{2\Lambda_{\rm eff}}{R_0\kappa^2}\right) = \Delta\ddot{H}(t)\Delta H(t)\left(2\delta - \frac{12\Lambda_{\rm eff}}{\kappa^2 R_0^2}\right) + \Delta\dot{H}(t)^2\left(\frac{6\Lambda_{\rm eff}}{R_0^2\kappa^2} - \delta\right)\,. \tag{IV.47}$$

Its solution is given by

$$\Delta H(t) = c_0\cos\left(B_0 t\right)^2\,, \quad B_0 = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{R_0(R_0-2\Lambda_{\rm eff})}{6\Lambda_{\rm eff} - \delta R_0^2\kappa^2}}\,, \tag{IV.48}$$

with c_0 a constant. When we examine the evolution of the system which tends to $R = +0$, the following relation is required:

$$R_0 > 2\Lambda_{\rm eff}\,, \tag{IV.49}$$

where we have taken into account that $\delta < 0$. We analyze this condition. By rewriting Eq. (IV.29) to $R_0 = R_{\rm dS}/b$ and using the de Sitter solution $H^2_{\rm dS} \equiv H^2_{\rm dS+}$ with $\Lambda = \zeta/(\beta\kappa^2)$ in Eq. (IV.7), we get

$$0 < \zeta < 3\left(\frac{b-2}{b^2}\right), \quad 0 < \zeta < \frac{3}{8}, \tag{IV.50}$$

where we have also included the condition in Eq. (IV.7). For example, in the setting analyzed in the preceding subsection to reproduce the correct number of e-folds of inflation $\mathcal{N}$, where $b = 3$ and $\zeta = 1/8$, this condition is met. From Eq. (IV.48), we can also estimate the time necessary for the universe to exit from inflation as

$$\Delta t \sim \frac{\pi}{2B_0} = \frac{1}{H_{\rm dS}}\sqrt{\frac{b(6\Lambda_{\rm eff} - \delta R_0^2\kappa^2)}{12(R_0 - 2\Lambda_{\rm eff})}}. \tag{IV.51}$$

Since we are interested in the Planck energy, we may consider $c_0 \sim M_{\rm Pl}$ in Eq. (IV.48). For instance, we may be out from the Planck energy scale when $\Delta H \sim 10^{-5}c_0$, so that $|f(R)| \leq 10^{-5}M^2_{\rm Pl}$ and the effects of quantum anomaly disappear. On the other hand, the radiation, that we have neglected during inflation at the Planck scale as done in Eq. (III.15), becomes dominant and drives the cosmological evolution.

D. Effective gravitational coupling

In order to avoid anti-gravity effects in a modified gravity theory, it is necessary to verify that $f_R(R) > -1$, namely, the effective gravitational coupling $G_{\rm eff} = G/\left(1 + f_R(R)\right)$, where G is the Newton's constant, have to be positive to correctly describe the interactions between matter and gravity. In the model under investigation, $f_R(R)$ has a minimum at $R = 0$ (this means the regime where $R/R_0 \ll 1$), where

$$f_R(R=0) = -\frac{2\Lambda_{\rm eff}}{R_0}. \tag{IV.52}$$

To recover the standard evolution of the universe for $R/R_0 \ll 1$, we must have $G_{\rm eff} \simeq G$. Moreover, when $R/R_0 \ll 1$, by plugging Eq. (IV.46) into the first Friedmann equation (III.8), we obtain

$$3\Delta H(t)^2 = \kappa^2\delta\left(6\Delta\ddot{H}(t)\Delta H(t) - 3\Delta\dot{H}(t)^2\right) + 6\Lambda_{\rm eff}\left(\frac{3\Delta\dot{H}(t)^2}{R_0^2} + \frac{\Delta H(t)^2}{R_0} - \frac{6\Delta H(t)\Delta\ddot{H}(t)}{R_0^2}\right) + \kappa^2\frac{\rho_0}{a^4}, \tag{IV.53}$$

where we have taken account of the contribution of radiation. If $\Delta H(t)$ remains close to zero, that is, if Eq. (IV.49) is met, since $\kappa^2 = 8\pi/M^2_{\rm Pl}$, the contribution of the quantum anomaly is completely negligible in comparison with that of radiation ($\kappa^2\rho_{\rm CA} \ll 3\Delta H(t)^2$ with $\rho_{\rm CA}$ the energy density of the conformal anomaly), but the contribution of the modification of gravity leads to

$$\kappa^2\rho_{\rm MG}(R/R_0 \ll 1) \simeq 3\Delta H(t)^2\left(\frac{2\Lambda_{\rm eff}}{R_0}\right). \tag{IV.54}$$

Therefore, only if $2\Lambda/R_0 \ll 1$, the effects of modified gravity can be avoided. We conclude that in order to recover the correct value of the Newton's constant and the standard model for $R/R_0 \ll 1$, the condition in Eq. (IV.49) must be well satisfied as

$$\frac{2\Lambda}{R_0} \equiv \frac{2\zeta b}{3\left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{8\zeta}{3}}\right)} \ll 1. \tag{IV.55}$$

We have previously seen that the choice $\zeta = 1/8$ and $b = 3$ brings to a viable reproduction of the inflation. For this choice, we find $2\Lambda_{\rm eff}/R_0 \simeq 0.1$. Here, we present several comments. The smaller ζ is (that is, the smaller the effective cosmological constant of the $F(R)$ gravity model is), the better all the viability conditions of inflation are met. For example, if $\zeta = 1/16$, i.e., $\Lambda_{\rm eff} = \pi M^2_{\rm Pl}/(2N^2)$, we acquire $2\Lambda_{\rm eff}/R_0 \simeq 0.06$. In addition, for $b = 3$, the unstable de Sitter solution of inflation is realized, but the stable one is not realized. Furthermore, if $\gamma > 4$, the number of e-folds $\mathcal{N}$ is larger than 76. This fact is not surprising, because for $\Lambda_{\rm eff} \to 0$, the modification of gravity disappears and we recover the Starobinsky model, which possesses an unique unstable de Sitter solution. However, in such a case, we also lose the effects of the perturbations from modified gravity, and an alternative description (like the usual one in scalar

tensor theories) has to be found. To execute it is contrary to the aim of this work, in which we have demonstrated how it is possible to realize inflation (and in particular, the exit from inflation) in the framework of $F(R)$ gravity by taking into consideration the quantum anomaly combined with modified gravity.

We finally state the following point. The toy model under investigation can easily be implemented in the form in Eq. (IV.2) to unify the early-time inflation with the late-time acceleration

$$f(R) = -2\Lambda\left[1-\exp\left(-\frac{R}{R_*}\right)\right] - 2\Lambda_{\rm eff}\left[1-\exp\left(-\frac{R}{R_0}\right)\right], \tag{IV.56}$$

so that the modification of the Einstein gravity can be represented in a compact way to reproduce the accelerated expansion of the universe at two different energy scales. In the exponential model, there exists a stable de Sitter solution, but owing to the coupling with the trace anomaly at the Planck epoch, the solution at that time is unstable. As a result, the perturbations which make the exit from inflation towards the thermal universe at small curvature possible are originated from modified gravity itself.

V. UNIFICATION OF THE TRACE-ANOMALY DRIVEN INFLATION WITH THE DARK ENERGY DOMINATED STAGE IN EXPONENTIAL GRAVITY

The first proposal on the unification of inflation and the dark energy dominated stage in $F(R)$ gravity has been made in Ref. [49]. In this section, we show that actually, such a proposal works well also when the inflationary sector is represented by the trace-anomaly induced action. Indeed, this action is given by the specific form of modified gravity which contains an R^2 term and a higher-derivative non-local gravity one. Note that as it was demonstrated in Ref. [50], such a term also removes possible early-time or late finite-time singularities by acting them towards a non-singular description of the universe.

It follows from Eqs. (III.1) and (IV.3) that the action for the unified scenario is expressed as

$$I = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\int_{\mathcal{M}} d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left\{R + \frac{\kappa^2}{96\pi^2}\gamma N^2R^2 - 2\Lambda_{\rm eff}\left[1-\exp\left(-\frac{R}{R_0}\right)\right] + 2\kappa^2\mathcal{L}_{\rm QC}\right\}. \tag{V.1}$$

Here, R_0 can be considered to be a current curvature. In this case, (i) for large curvature regime $R/R_0 \gg 1$, which corresponds to the early universe, the effective action can be written as

$$I_{\rm inf} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\int_{\mathcal{M}} d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left(R + \frac{\kappa^2}{96\pi^2}\gamma N^2R^2 - 2\Lambda_{\rm eff} + 2\kappa^2\mathcal{L}_{\rm QC}\right). \tag{V.2}$$

While, (ii) for small curvature regime $R/R_0 \ll 1$, when the universe is at the dark energy dominated stage, the effective action can be represented as

$$I_{\rm DE} = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\int_{\mathcal{M}} d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left(R - 2\tilde{\Lambda}_{\rm eff}\right), \quad \tilde{\Lambda}_{\rm eff} \equiv \left(\frac{R}{R_0}\right)\Lambda_{\rm eff}. \tag{V.3}$$

In the expressions for the actions in Eqs. (V.2) and (V.3), we only take the dominant terms. For the action in Eq. (V.2), thanks to the second term proportional to R^2, R^2 inflation can happen, and also the exit from inflation can be realized by the fourth term coming from the conformal anomaly. On the other hand, for the action in Eq. (V.3), the effective theory is equivalent to the Einstein gravity plus the positive cosmological constant, and therefore the de Sitter expansion of the current universe can occur. In this case, we have $a(t) = \bar{a}\exp(H_{\rm p}t)$ with $\bar{a}(>0)$ a positive constant and $H_{\rm p} = \sqrt{\tilde{\Lambda}_{\rm eff}/3}$ the Hubble parameter at the present time. As a result, in the model whose action is described by Eq. (V.1), not only inflation in the early universe but also the current cosmic accelerated expansion can happen in the unified manner as explained above.

VI. CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this paper, we have studied the conformal anomaly for inflation in $F(R)$ gravity. Since the discovery of the cosmic acceleration, the modified theories of gravity have become an interesting field of research. The idea that some modification of the Einstein gravity lies behind our universe offers a natural way to describe the accelerated expansion of the universe at large or small curvature. Inflation from $F(R)$ gravity is usually analyzed in the framework of the

scalar-tensor theories, but we have here presented a different approach without invoking the conformal transformations for an $F(R)$ gravity model, which are even not always possible to do. Moreover, the conformal anomaly has been considered. If inflation takes place at the Planck epoch, the quantum effects must be taken into account.

In our analysis, as a simple and suitable toy model, we have used exponential gravity with the quantum contribution due to the conformal anomaly. The accelerated expansion is initially driven by the conformal anomaly. Hence, the modification of gravity generates the perturbations necessary for the graceful exit from inflation.

For our purpose, we have adopted the exponential gravity model, because in it, the de Sitter expansion is supported at the Planck epoch, and the negative perturbations of the curvature, which starts to decrease towards the end of inflation, are involved. It is well known that the conformal anomaly possesses the unstable de Sitter solution as an exact solution of the theory. This means that, given a perturbation, the model exits from the de Sitter solution. However, in order to understand the evolution of the universe and compare a model with the data obtained from the cosmological observations, it is crucial to reveal the origin and the form of the perturbations. In our case, the perturbations are generated from modified gravity itself and can be calculated. Consequently, the analysis of inflation can be carried out by analogy with scalar tensor theories. For our model, we can evaluate the number of e-folds $\mathcal{N}$, the spectral index, the time and the EoS parameter during inflation, and compare them with the latest observations. We have shown that the viable inflation occurs in the model, and at the end of inflation, the standard cosmology is recovered. Namely, the modification of gravity and the effects of the conformal anomaly vanish. Accordingly, radiation, which is, in principle, also contained in the quantum state, becomes dominant and drives the decelerated expansion.

Concretely, it has been found that for our model, the spectral index of scalar modes of the curvature perturbations is $n_{\rm s} = 0.9603$, which is consistent with the PLANCK result, and the tensor-to-scalar ratio r of them can be within the error of 68% CL of the very recent BICEP2 experiment data. It is also expected that in the near future, the B-mode polarizations of the CMB radiation might be detected by other experiments, e.g., QUIET [51], B-Pol [52], POLARBEAR [53], and LiteBIRD [54].

Finally, we remark that our approach can be generalized to other models of modified gravity. To realize inflation, it is required that modified gravity supports the de Sitter solution together with the conformal anomaly and generates the negative perturbations on the Hubble parameter.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Prof. S. Zerbini for useful discussions. K.B. is sincerely grateful to Prof. Gi-Chol Cho, Prof. Shin'ichi Nojiri, Prof. Akio Sugamoto and Prof. Koichi Yamawaki for their very warm continuous encouragements. The work has been supported in part by the project TSPU-139 of Min. of Education and Science (Russia) (S.D.O.), and the JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) # 25800136 (K.B.).

[1] A. H. Guth, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 347 (1981).
[2] K. Sato, Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **195**, 467 (1981); Phys. Lett. B **99**, 66 (1981).
[3] J. S. Dowker and R. Critchley, Phys. Rev. D **13**, 3224 (1976); M. V. Fischetti, J. B. Hartle and B. L. Hu, Phys. Rev. D **20**, 1757 (1979); S. G. Mamaev and V. M. Mostepanenko, Sov. Phys. JETP **51**, 9 (1980) [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **78**, 20 (1980)].
[4] D. S. Gorbunov and V. A. Rubakov, *Introduction to the Theory of the Early Universe: Hot Big Bang Theory* (2011).
[5] F. Bezrukov, A. Magnin, M. Shaposhnikov and S. Sibiryakov, JHEP **1101**, 016 (2011) [arXiv:1008.5157 [hep-ph]].
[6] A. D. Linde, Lect. Notes Phys. **738**, 1 (2008) [arXiv:0705.0164 [hep-th]].
[7] I. L. Buchbinder, S. D. Odintsov and I. L. Shapiro, *Effective action in quantum gravity*, Bristol, UK: IOP (1992) 413 p.
[8] V. Mukhanov and S. Winitzki, *Introduction to quantum effects in gravity*, Cambridge, UK: Cambridge Univ. Pr. (2007) 273 p.
[9] A. D. Linde, Phys. Lett. B **129**, 177 (1983).
[10] A. D. Linde, Phys. Lett. B **108**, 389 (1982).
[11] A. Albrecht and P. Steinhardt, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 1220 (1982).
[12] K. Freese, J. A. Frieman, A. V. Orinto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 3233 (1990).
[13] F. C. Adams, J. R. Bond, K. Freese, J. A. Frieman and A. V. Orinto, Phys. Rev. D **47**, 426 (1993).
[14] A. D. Linde, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 748 (1994).
[15] E. J. Copeland, A. R. Liddle, D. H. Lyth, E. D. Stewart and D. Wands, Phys. Rev. D **49**, 6410 (1994).
[16] A. A. Starobinsky, Phys. Lett. B **91**, 99 (1980).
[17] A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D **32**, 2511 (1985).

[18] D. N. Spergel *et al.* [WMAP Collaboration], Astrophys. J. Suppl. **148**, 175 (2003) [arXiv:astro-ph/0302209]; *ibid.* **170**, 377 (2007) [arXiv:astro-ph/0603449]; E. Komatsu *et al.* [WMAP Collaboration], *ibid.* **180**, 330 (2009) [arXiv:0803.0547 [astro-ph]]; *ibid.* **192**, 18 (2011) [arXiv:1001.4538 [astro-ph.CO]].

[19] G. Hinshaw *et al.* [WMAP Collaboration], Astrophys. J. Suppl. **208**, 19 (2013) [arXiv:1212.5226 [astro-ph.CO]].

[20] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], arXiv:1303.5076 [astro-ph.CO].

[21] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], arXiv:1303.5082 [astro-ph.CO].

[22] J. Alexandre, N. Houston and N. E. Mavromatos, arXiv:1312.5197 [gr-qc]; C. Pallis, arXiv:1312.3623 [hep-ph]; A. Kehagias, A. M. Dizgah and A. Riotto, arXiv:1312.1155 [hep-th]; S. Ferrara, P. Fre and A. S. Sorin, arXiv:1311.5059 [hep-th]; E. J. Copeland, C. Rahmede and I. D. Saltas, arXiv:1311.0881 [gr-qc]; J. Ellis, D. V. Nanopoulos and K. A. Olive, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 111301 (2013) [arXiv:1305.1247 [hep-th]]; JCAP **1310**, 009 (2013) [arXiv:1307.3537]; F. Farakos, A. Kehagias and A. Riotto, Nucl. Phys. B **876**, 187 (2013) [arXiv:1307.1137]; R. Kallosh and A. Linde, JCAP **1306**, 028 (2013) [arXiv:1306.3214 [hep-th]]; F. Briscese, A. Marciano, L. Modesto and E. N. Saridakis, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 083507 (2013) [arXiv:1212.3611 [hep-th]]; F. Arroja and M. Sasaki, JCAP **1208**, 012 (2012) [arXiv:1204.6489 [astro-ph.CO]]; D. S. Gorbunov and A. G. Panin, Phys. Lett. B **718**, 15 (2012) [arXiv:1201.3539 [astro-ph.CO]]; F. L. Bezrukov and D. S. Gorbunov, Phys. Lett. B **713**, 365 (2012) [arXiv:1111.4397 [hep-ph]]; D. -i. Hwang, B. -H. Lee and D. -h. Yeom, JCAP **1112**, 006 (2011) [arXiv:1110.0928 [gr-qc]]; J. Martin and L. Sriramkumar, JCAP **1201**, 008 (2012) [arXiv:1109.5838 [astro-ph.CO]]; C. Corda and H. J. Mosquera Cuesta, Astropart. Phys. **34**, 587 (2011) [arXiv:1011.4801 [physics.gen-ph]].

[23] Q. -G. Huang, JCAP **1402**, 035 (2014) [arXiv:1309.3514 [hep-th]].

[24] L. Sebastiani, G. Cognola, R. Myrzakulov, S. D. Odintsov and S. Zerbini, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 023518 (2014) [arXiv:1311.0744 [gr-qc]].

[25] I. L. Buchbinder, S. D. Odintsov and I. L. Shapiro, Phys. Lett. B **162**, 92 (1985).

[26] B. Geyer, S. D. Odintsov and S. Zerbini, Phys. Lett. B **460**, 58 (1999) [gr-qc/9905073]; S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **16**, 1015 (2001) [hep-th/0009202].

[27] S. W. Hawking, T. Hertog and H. S. Reall, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 043501 (2000) [hep-th/0003052]; S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov and S. Zerbini, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 064006 (2000) [hep-th/0001192]; S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Lett. B **484**, 119 (2000) [hep-th/0004097]; O. Corradini and A. Iglesias, JCAP **0805**, 012 (2008) [arXiv:0708.1052 [hep-th]].

[28] S. W. Hawking, T. Hertog and H. S. Reall, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 083504 (2001) [hep-th/0010232].

[29] K. Bamba, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Lett. B **731**, 257 (2014) [arXiv:1401.7378 [gr-qc]].

[30] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rept. **505**, 59 (2011) [arXiv:1011.0544 [gr-qc]]; S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, eConf C **0602061**, 06 (2006) [Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **4**, 115 (2007)] [hep-th/0601213]; K. Bamba and S. D. Odintsov, arXiv:1402.7114 [hep-th]; S. Capozziello and V. Faraoni, *Beyond Einstein Gravity* (Springer, Dordrecht, 2010); S. Capozziello and M. De Laurentis, Phys. Rept. **509**, 167 (2011) [arXiv:1108.6266 [gr-qc]].

[31] K. Bamba, S. Capozziello, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Astrophys. Space Sci. **342**, 155 (2012) [arXiv:1205.3421 [gr-qc]].

[32] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [BICEP2 Collaboration], arXiv:1403.3985 [astro-ph.CO].

[33] S. Deser, M. J. Duff and C. J. Isham, Nucl. Phys. B **111**, 45 (1976); M. J. Duff, *ibid.* **125**, 334 (1997).

[34] N. D. Birell and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum fields in curved space* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1982).

[35] M. J. Duff, Class. Quant. Grav. **11**, 1387 (1994) [hep-th/9308075]; S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Lett. B **444**, 92 (1998) [hep-th/9810008].

[36] M. J. Duff, Class. Quant. Grav. **11**, 1387 (1994) [hep-th/9308075].

[37] W. Hu and I. Sawicki, Phys. Rev. D **76**, 064004 (2007) [arXiv:0705.1158 [astro-ph]].

[38] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Lett. B **657**, 238 (2007) [arXiv:0707.1941 [hep-th]].

[39] A. A. Starobinsky, JETP Lett. **86**, 157 (2007) [arXiv:0706.2041 [astro-ph]].

[40] G. Cognola, E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, L. Sebastiani and S. Zerbini, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 046009 (2008) [arXiv:0712.4017 [hep-th]].

[41] E. V. Linder, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 123528 (2009) [arXiv:0905.2962 [astro-ph.CO]]; K. Bamba, C. -Q. Geng and C. -C. Lee, JCAP **1008**, 021 (2010) [arXiv:1005.4574 [astro-ph.CO]]; C. -C. Lee, C. -Q. Geng and L. Yang, Prog. Theor. Phys. **128**, 415 (2012) [arXiv:1201.4546 [astro-ph.CO]]; L. Yang, C. -C. Lee, L. -W. Luo and C. -Q. Geng, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 103515 (2010) [arXiv:1010.2058 [astro-ph.CO]].

[42] S. A. Appleby and R. A. Battye, Phys. Lett. B **654**, 7 (2007) [arXiv:0705.3199 [astro-ph]].

[43] E. Elizalde, S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, L. Sebastiani and S. Zerbini, Phys. Rev. D **83**, 086006 (2011) [arXiv:1012.2280 [hep-th]].

[44] V. F. Mukhanov and G. V. Chibisov, JETP Lett. **33**, 532 (1981) [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **33**, 549 (1981)].

[45] A. R. Liddle and D. H. Lyth, *Cosmological inflation and large-scale structure* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, 2000).

[46] P. Channuie, arXiv:1312.7122 [gr-qc]; J. Joergensen, F. Sannino and O. Svendsen, arXiv:1403.3289 [hep-ph]; K. Nakayama and F. Takahashi, arXiv:1403.4132 [hep-ph]; K. Harigaya, M. Ibe, K. Schmitz and T. T. Yanagida, arXiv:1403.4536 [hep-ph]; L. A. Anchordoqui, V. Barger, H. Goldberg, X. Huang and D. Marfatia, arXiv:1403.4578 [hep-ph]; Y. -Z. Ma and Y. Wang, arXiv:1403.4585 [astro-ph.CO]; A. Kehagias and A. Riotto, arXiv:1403.4811 [astro-ph.CO]; T. Kobayashi and O. Seto, arXiv:1403.5055 [astro-ph.CO]; K. Freese and W. H. Kinney, arXiv:1403.5277 [astro-ph.CO]; J. Caligiuri and A. Kosowsky, arXiv:1403.5324 [astro-ph.CO]; C. Cheng and Q. -G. Huang, arXiv:1403.5463 [astro-ph.CO]; S. Choudhury and A. Mazumdar, arXiv:1403.5549 [hep-th]; H. M. Lee, arXiv:1403.5602 [hep-ph]; Y. Gong, arXiv:1403.5716 [gr-qc]; C. Germani, Y. Watanabe and N. Wintergerst, arXiv:1403.5766 [hep-ph]; M. Czerny, T. Higaki and F. Takahashi, arXiv:1403.5883 [hep-ph]; A. Ashoorioon, K. Dimopoulos, M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari and G. Shiu, arXiv:1403.6099 [hep-th]; S. Dodelson, arXiv:1403.6310 [astro-ph.CO]; J. de Haro and J. Amorós, arXiv:1403.6396 [gr-qc]; N. Okada, V. N. Senoğuz

and Q. Shafi, arXiv:1403.6403 [hep-ph].
[47] J. Lizarraga, J. Urrestilla, D. Daverio, M. Hindmarsh, M. Kunz and A. R. Liddle, arXiv:1403.4924 [astro-ph.CO]; A. Moss and L. Pogosian, arXiv:1403.6105 [astro-ph.CO].
[48] L. Visinelli and P. Gondolo, arXiv:1403.4594 [hep-ph]; S. Lee, G. -C. Liu and K. -W. Ng, arXiv:1403.5585 [astro-ph.CO]; M. Gerbino, A. Marchini, L. Pagano, L. Salvati, E. Di Valentino and A. Melchiorri, arXiv:1403.5732 [astro-ph.CO]; Y. Wang and W. Xue, arXiv:1403.5817 [astro-ph.CO]; M. Kawasaki and S. Yokoyama, arXiv:1403.5823 [astro-ph.CO]; K. N. Abazajian, G. Aslanyan, R. Easther and L. C. Price, arXiv:1403.5922 [astro-ph.CO]; F. Wu, Y. Li, Y. Lu and X. Chen, arXiv:1403.6462 [astro-ph.CO].
[49] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 123512 (2003) [hep-th/0307288].
[50] K. Bamba, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, JCAP **0810**, 045 (2008) [arXiv:0807.2575 [hep-th]].
[51] D. Samtleben and f. t. Q. Collaboration, Nuovo Cim. **122B**, 1353 (2007) [arXiv:0802.2657 [astro-ph]]; D. Araujo *et al.* [QUIET Collaboration], Astrophys. J. **760**, 145 (2012) [arXiv:1207.5034 [astro-ph.CO]]; http://quiet.uchicago.edu/index.php.
[52] http://www.b-pol.org/index.php.
[53] http://mountainpolarbear.blogspot.jp/.
[54] http://cmbpol.kek.jp/litebird/.